UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,231	04/29/2005	Yasuhito Yuasa	10873.1685USWO	5067	
52835 7590 07/14/2008 HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C. P.O. BOX 2902			EXAMINER		
			BURNEY, RACHEL L		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1795		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/14/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/533,231	YUASA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Rachel L. Burney	1795	

	Rachel L. Burney	1795			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED <u>01 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v	, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE c). c).	date of the final rejection of the FIRST REPLY WAS FIING (a) and the appropriate	n. LED WITHIN TWO		
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	hortened statutory period for reply origin	nally set in the final Offic	e action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	cause		
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in beto 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);			
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceli non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an ex	xplanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach	ed.		
11. X The request for reconsideration has been consider because:	ered but does NOT place the applic	ation in condition for a	allowance		
Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant arguments are not persuasive. Applicant arguments arguments are not persuasive. Applicant arguments would not reasonably be switched with the two-compone 2, lines 47-50, which applicant references, teaches that a the toner is mixed with magnetic particles, it is a two-component to the toner, the examiner asserts that the black toner is reasonable to switch the carrier resin of Nakamura for the	nt developer of Nakamura. The ex a mono-component developing syst aponent developing agent. Becaus used in a two-component developin	aminer respectfully di tem comprises only the e magnetic particles and agent, and therefore	sagrees, column e toner, and if are being added		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (
13 ☐ Other:					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

/Mark F. Huff/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080707

Application No.